



# **Grower Summary**

# SF 012 (GSK219)

Investigating the use of Pre-Tect to prevent run-off (fruitlet abscission) after flowering

Annual 2008

© Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2009. All rights reserved.

#### Disclaimer

AHDB, operating through its HDC division seeks to ensure that the information contained within this document is accurate at the time of printing. No warranty is given in respect thereof and, to the maximum extent permitted by law the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board accepts no liability for loss, damage or injury howsoever caused (including that caused by negligence) or suffered directly or indirectly in relation to information and opinions contained in or omitted from this document.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including by photocopy or storage in any medium by electronic means) or any copy or adaptation stored, published or distributed (by physical, electronic or other means) without the prior permission in writing of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, other than by reproduction in an unmodified form for the sole purpose of use as an information resource when the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board or HDC is clearly acknowledged as the source, or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved.

AHDB (logo) is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board. HDC is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, for use by its HDC division. All other trademarks, logos and brand names contained in this publication are the trademarks of their respective holders. No rights are granted without the prior written permission of the relevant owners.

The results and conclusions in this report may be based on an investigation conducted over one year. Therefore, care must be taken with the interpretation of the results.

#### **Use of pesticides**

Only officially approved pesticides may be used in the UK. Approvals are normally granted only in relation to individual products and for specified uses. It is an offence to use nonapproved products or to use approved products in a manner that does not comply with the statutory conditions of use, except where the crop or situation is the subject of an off-label extension of use.

Before using all pesticides check the approval status and conditions of use.

Read the label before use: use pesticides safely.

HDC is a division of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board.

| Project Number:      | SF 012 (GSK219)  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Project Title:       | Investigating the use of Pre-Tect to prevent run-off (fruitlet abscission) after flowering |  |
| Project Leader:      | James Carew, FAST Ltd  |  |
| Contractor/(s):      | FAST Ltd   |  |
| Report:              | Annual report, December 2009   |  |
|                      |  |  |
| Publication Date:    | 25/06/2014   |  |
| Previous report/(s): | None   |  |
| Start Date:          | 7 April 2008   |  |
| End Date:            | 31 December 2009   |  |

### **Further information**

If you would like a copy of this report, please email the HDC office (hdc@hdc.ahdb.org.uk), alternatively contact the HDC at the address below.

HDC, AHDB Stoneleigh Park Kenilworth Warwickshire CV8 2TL

Tel – 0247 669 2051

# **GROWER SUMMARY**

#### Headline

• Pre-Tect shows potential for reducing levels of run-off in blackcurrants.

#### Background and expected deliverables

The initial component of the natural defence mechanism of higher plants, the hypersensitive response (HR), is associated with plant defence against many bacteria, fungi, viruses and nematodes. The HR is characterized by the rapid, localised death of tissues affected by a pathogen. After the death of localised tissues, the systemic acquired resistance (SAR) pathway is activated. Systemic acquired resistance activation results in the development of a broad spectrum, systemic resistance (Bednarz *et al.*, 2002).

There have been a number of studies in the USA which demonstrate the effect of the Harpin protein on disease resistance. Reduction in the impact of *Phytopthera infestans* on tomato with application of Messenger Harpin protein has been observed in pepper (Fontinilla *et al.*, 2005). Tomato plants inoculated with *Botrytis cinerea* showed less symptoms of the pathogen following application of Harpin (Fontinilla *et al.*, 2005b). In apples, Harpin applied both pre- and post-harvest resulted in a reduction in the effect of infection of *Penicillium expansum* (Capdeville *et al.*, 2003).

However, the focus of research has only recently switched to the effect of Harpin on growth and fruiting. There are a number of unpublished research results which demonstrate the effect of Harpin on growth and fruiting, which gives us a basis for this work.

Plant Health Care Pre-Tect is a wettable powder formulation of the Harpin protein. The aim of the project was to determine whether the application of Pre- Tect could help to alleviate the run-off of berries between flowering and fruiting.

## Summary of project and main conclusions

During the 2009 season Pre-Tect was applied at the rate of 1.5g/L with an equivalent water volume of 600L/Ha. The product was applied using a motorized knapsack sprayer applying the product from both sides of the plants to ensure optimum coverage.

Treatments were arranged in a completely randomised design with 10 plants per treatment. Timing of application was determined using the FAST flower bud stages chart.

| Ben Gairn      | Ben Tirran     |
|----------------|----------------|
| Petal Fall     | Open Petal     |
| Early Fruitlet | Petal Fall     |
|                | Early Fruitlet |


At petal fall, the flower number was counted, and then just prior to harvesting, the fruit number was counted.

At harvest, fruit was collected and supplied to the FAST Lab for Brix and acid levels to be determined.

Results were analysed by ANOVA using t-test to determine the significance of difference between the control and treatment means.

#### Results - Ben Gairn

The average fruit number from the control plants which had not received any Pre-Tect treatment was 3.42 fruit per cluster. For plants to which Pre-Tect had been applied at Petal Fall, this increased to 4.63 fruit per cluster. However, if Pre-Tect application was delayed to early fruitlet stage there was no effect on fruit number. Fruit number remained at 3.37 fruit per cluster in this case (Figure 1).



**Figure 1**. The effect of timing of Pre-Tect application on fruit number per cluster for Ben Gairn. Standard error bars are shown.

#### ANOVA

| Source of Variation | SS     | df  | MS    | F     | P-value                |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-------|-------|------------------------|
| Treatment           | 79.84  | 2   | 39.92 | 15.43 | 5.4 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> |
| Error               | 558.76 | 216 | 2.58  |       |                        |
| Total               | 638.61 | 218 |       |       |                        |

The effect of Pre-Tect on fruit number was significant to  $P = 5.4 \times 10^{-7}$ . The increase in Fruit number between control and Pre-Tect applied at Petal Fall was significant at P<0.001.

The effect of Pre-Tect application on percentage run-off was similar. Pre-Tect, when applied at Petal fall reduced the percentage runoff whereas if application was delayed until Early Fruitlet stage, there was no effect on fruit number (Figure 2).




Figure 2. The effect of Pre-Tect on percentage run-off from Ben Gairn.


The °Brix of Pre-Tect treated plants was around 2° higher than the °Brix of fruit from the untreated control plants. This was correlated with a decrease in acidity (Table 1).

Table 1. The effect of Pre-Tect on °Brix of fruit from Ben Gairn.

|                | °Brix | Malic Acid |
|----------------|-------|------------|
| Control        | 10.4  | 31.01      |
| Petal fall     | 12.2  | 28.74      |
| Early fruitlet | 12.5  | 29.31      |

#### Results – Ben Tirran

There was no significant effect of Pre-Tect on Ben Tirran. There did appear to be an increase in fruit number when Pre-Tect was applied at Open Petal and Petal fall but the effect of treatment was not significant. The variation between fruit number was greater for Ben Tirran than for Ben Gairn and a greater replicate number was needed (Figure 3).



**Figure 3**. The effect of timing of Pre-Tect application on fruit number per cluster for Ben Tirran. Standard error bars are shown.

#### ANOVA

| Source of Variation | SS     | df   | MS   | F    | P-value |
|---------------------|--------|------|------|------|---------|
| Treatment           | 11.57  | 3    | 3.85 | 0.85 | 0.47    |
| Error               | 614    | 4.51 | 2.67 |      |         |
| Total               | 625.57 | 139  |      |      |         |

The °Brix of Pre-Tect treated plants was around 1° higher than the °Brix of fruit from the untreated control plants (Table 2). There didn't seem to be any impact of timing of application of Pre-Tect. Where this was correlated with a decrease in acidity in Ben Gain, this was not the case for Ben Tirran.

**Table 2.** The effect of Pre-Tect on °Brix of fruit from Ben Tirran.

|                | °Brix | Malic Acid |
|----------------|-------|------------|
| Control        | 16.5  | 22.32      |
| Open petal     | 17.4  | 37.06      |
| Petal fall     | 17.6  | 54.56      |
| Early fruitlet | 17.4  | 36.36      |

#### Conclusions

There is an indication that Pre-Tect has the potential to reduce the impact of run-off on fruit number. In Ben Gairn, the effects were statistically significant but in the Ben Tirran the effects were not significant. The other significant effect was on Brix. Pre-Tect treated plants produced fruit with Brix which were higher than those which had not been treated.

Unfortunately the trial was started in late April and the treatments should have been applied earlier. The results indicate that the replicate number needs to be increased to take into account the greater variation in the Ben Tirran results than the Ben Gairn results. There does seem to be a potential use for Pre-Tect in blackcurrant but the work needs to be repeated next year to further examine the effects.

#### Further work

Further work would firstly require the application of treatments from the flower bud stage, continuing until the early fruitlet stage. Secondly the number of plants to which Pre-Tect was applied needs to increase. This would allow details of effects to be determined.

#### **Financial benefits**

If Pre-Tect is proven to reduce levels of run-off in blackcurrant, harvested yields will increase leading to improved yields and returns.

#### Action points for growers

• No action points have arisen from this work.